

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/800,524	03/08/2001	Kunimasa Suzuki	204078US6	5017.	
22850 759	90 11/27/2006		EXAMINER		
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND			ZEENDER, FLORIAN M		
OBLON, SPIVA	•	AIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/200	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

à <u>.</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/800,524	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	F. Ryan Zeender	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re-		the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reju	ected claims.				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is antered. As a pull a still a	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 12. Near the attached before attached by the continuation of the continuation	,	i condition for allowar	ice decause:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not fully convincing. The Examiner is of the position that Sharp et al. at least generates and transmits a web page (For examples Figs. 5-12) disclosing the name of the company (i.e., Sports Universe). Because the reference only teaches that orders are taken and distributed utilizing the Internet, it would be inherent that when Sports Universe generates and transmits its web page order to a manufacturer that the manufacturer would then know that the sales method would be from the use of the Internet. Thus, the Examiner believes that there is a condition where the applicant's arguments are not necessarily correct and the prior art meets the limitations of the claims.

F. RYAN ZEENDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

2